

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/529,690	04/18/2000	ROLAND COX	JYG122USA	3166
7:	590 05/14/2004		EXAM	INER
HOWSON & HOWSON SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER			LEVY, NEIL S	
PO BOX 457			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/200/	4

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/529,690	COX, ROLAND
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Neil Levy	1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status	10/10	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
Disposition of Claims 11 12 20 31-45		
A) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign partial All by Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e

Art Unit: 1616

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are duplicative of claims 35 and 36, respectively.

Claims 38, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

38 depends on its self - we preside 37 is intended.

Claims 13, 16-18, 20, 31-33, 35, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Cox et al – GB 2309461.

Cox et al showed the instant method steps, incorporating a fungicide as (p.2, top) claimed in a dope to produce an acrylic fiber (p.1. line 5-17), which maintains long lasting efficacy. The fungicide is at 0.001-10% (p.3, lines 11-14) of the acrylic polymer. In fact, Tolnaftate, at 0.1% (p. 6, top) was found effective. This is that of instant claim 44, thus, inherently it would be effective against A. glaucus and A. restrict as. Note the yarn spun from these fibers is timited into fabric, and laundered in domestic machines (example 2). Note also, the products so made resist ironing (p.1, bottom) and are made into those items where mites con be found: textile articles generically, thus, the instant bedding and floor covering – tents; and upholstered materials, awnings (p. 4, lines 13-16).

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 13, 16-18, 20. 31-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al GB 2309461 in view of Leong et al 5156843 and Lebran et al EP 0047553.

Cox (above) provides the essence of the instant invention, but does not disclose fissured fibers. Leong does (summary) using porogens to form fissures during polymerization, to provide a means to maintain long-lasting efficacy of added actives, inclusive of anti fungal agents (col. 7, lines 38-4-8) and mildew and insect repellents, at 5% incorporated ants bedding and upholstery (col. 7, lines 50-61). Polymeric fibers are of acrylics (col. 5, line 34-40, and Example).

Thus, the artisan would find it obvious to use particular ingredient combinations, concentration and ratios of ingredients, depending upon the particular fabric material use desired, of Cox, in order to provide an anti fungal product, modified by Leong to increase life expectancy, shown as motivation by Leong at col. 7. While Lebrum of record showed improved health with mite allergen reduction by adding anti fungal to textile-bedding material.

It has not clearly been established by objective showing of some additional unusual and/or unexpected results that the administration of the particular form of active, carrier of the particular form of fiber to be treated, provides any greater level of prior art expectation as claimed. Further, no criticality as to the size, shape or Anti fungal agent has been shown by applicant.

The selection of each ingredient and form thereof is a result effective parameter chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the nature of each

Art Unit: 1616

ingredient to optimize the effects desired, and the use ingredients for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not a basis for patentability.

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are seen as the instant invention is an improvement for allergy and asthma sufferers subjected to mite allergens. However, no limit, except @ claim 45, of cermount or concentration, and of anti fungal agent impregnated into fibers limited only to being man made, is claimed. Thus, the possibility of insufficiency of efficacy, and of irritant, allergic or toxicity effects of prolonged exposure to potentially harmful anti fungal counter the argued for benefits.

As to the art rejection, we find applicants arguments compelling, and so he consideration, with more relevant art, inventors in consort, primarily, is applied. We find the cited art suggests improvement and prolonged controlled release of anti fungal, over the original art cited, now used only to point to particular areas of concern associated with the use of bedding and textiles is general – mite potential problems finally the AMCOR material document is virtually unreadable as entered into the E-DAN system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Levy/LR May 3, 2004

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5